

Moduli of curves with non-abelian level structure

M. Pikaart A.J. de Jong*

February 5, 2008

1 Introduction

Deligne and Mumford introduced the moduli stack ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$ parametrizing smooth genus g curves with Teichmüller structure of level G , a finite group. For example, if $G = \{e\}$, resp. $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\kappa\mathbb{Z})^{\neq 0}$, this moduli stack is just the moduli stack of smooth curves $\mathcal{M}_g$, resp. of smooth curves with abelian level structure (sometimes denoted $\mathcal{M}_g[n]$). They also defined $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, the moduli stack of stable curves and proved it is proper over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. Taking the normalization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \times \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\kappa/\#\mathbb{G}])$ in the function field of ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$ defines $\overline{{}_G\mathcal{M}}_g$, proper over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\kappa/\#\mathbb{G}])$.

Let Π denote the standard fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface of genus g . The n th powers together with the k th order commutators generate a normal subgroup $\Pi^{(k),n}$. (We regard $[a, b]$ as a commutator of order 2.) Let G be the quotient $\Pi/\Pi^{(k+1),n}$. We show that if $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 3$ the coarse moduli scheme $\overline{{}_G\mathcal{M}}_g$ for $\overline{{}_G\mathcal{M}}_g$ exists, and we actually have $\overline{{}_G\mathcal{M}}_g \cong \overline{{}_G\mathcal{M}}_g$. The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 3.1.1 *Suppose $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $n \geq 3$. The structural morphism $\overline{{}_G\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\kappa/\kappa])$ is smooth if and only if*

- $k = 1$ and $g = 2$,
- $k = 2$ and n is odd,
- $k = 3$ and n is odd or n is divisible by 4.

Furthermore, if $k \geq 4$, $n \geq 3$ and n relatively prime to 6, then $\overline{{}_G\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\kappa/\kappa])$ is smooth.

*The research of A.J. de Jong has been made possible by a fellowship of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.

Looijenga constructed a smooth and compact cover $\overline{M}_{g[2]}^{an}$, $n \geq 3$ of $\overline{M}_g^{an}$ using Prym level structures. He proves that these coverings are universally ramified along the boundary of $\mathcal{M}_g^{an}$, see [L]. This holds also for our coverings as can be seen from 3.1.3. These coverings can be applied to the construction of the Chow rings of the stacks $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g[n]$: for example it is clear that the specialization maps are ring homomorphisms.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the definition of the moduli problem. The formulation is in terms of the relative fundamental group of a family of curves. Its final proposition states that $\overline{G\mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\not\sim/\not\sim])$ is smooth if and only if the associated compact analytic space $\overline{G\mathcal{M}}_g^{an}$ is a manifold. Section 3 contains a precise description of the monodromy along the boundary of $\overline{G\mathcal{M}}_g^{an}$ for general groups G and the statement of the main result. Sections 4 and 5 are of a topological nature. We describe the monodromy on the relative fundamental group of the universal curve along the boundary in terms of Dehn twists. We have to describe the situation in some detail in order to understand how this monodromy acts on the finite quotients $\Pi/\Pi^{(k),n}$. These considerations prove the main theorem, using Section 6, which contains the necessary computations for a free group on three generators.

The authors were stimulated by the article [L]. We thank Prof. Looijenga for numerous discussions explaining his and other results. We thank Prof. Oort, who remarked that it should be possible to do everything algebraically and drew our attention to the article [AMO].

1.1 Notations and conventions

1. Throughout the paper g is a fixed natural number at least 2.
2. G is a finite group.
3. $\mathbb{L}$ is a set of primes, $\mathbb{L}$ contains the primes dividing $\#G$.
4. The stack of stable curves of genus g is denoted $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, the open substack of smooth curves $\mathcal{M}_g$. Stacks are denoted by script letters. For definitions and results concerning stacks we refer to [DM].
5. Suppose Γ is a (pro-finite) group. A characteristic subgroup of Γ is a normal subgroup fixed by any automorphism of Γ . A characteristic quotient is one whose kernel is a characteristic subgroup. If Γ is profinite and topologically finitely generated then it is the direct limit of its finite characteristic quotients.
6. Let Γ denote a (finitely generated) group. For $a, b \in \Gamma$ we put $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$, so that $ab = ba[a, b]$. We define the lower central series $\Gamma^{(k)}$ of Γ by $\Gamma^{(1)} = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{(k+1)} = [\Gamma^{(k)}, \Gamma]$. The subgroup of Γ generated by

nth-powers is denoted Γ^n . We write $\Gamma^{(k),n}$ to indicate the subgroup generated by $\Gamma^{(k)}$ and nth-powers, $\Gamma^{(k),n} = \Gamma^{(k)} \cdot \Gamma^n$. Any group homomorphism maps commutators to commutators and nth-powers to nth-powers, hence preserves these subgroups. In particular, the subgroups $\Gamma^{(k),n}$ are characteristic subgroups of Γ .

7. Let $\Pi = \Pi_g$ denote the standard fundamental group $\Pi = \pi_1(S)$ of a compact Riemann surface S of genus g .

2 Definition of the moduli problem

In this section we recall the definition of the moduli problem of Teichmüller level structures, see [DM, Section 5]. Furthermore, we prove that the Deligne-Mumford compactification of the associated stack is smooth if and only if the corresponding analytic orbifold is smooth.

2.1 The relative fundamental group

In this section we define the relative fundamental group for a proper smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ with connected fibres and endowed with a section $s : S \rightarrow X$. In order to motivate the definition in the algebraic case (and since we need it also) we first do the analytic case.

2.1.1 The analytic case

Here $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a proper smooth morphism of analytic spaces with connected fibres. In addition we are given a section $s : S \rightarrow X$ of f . We define a locally constant sheaf of groups $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ over S such that for all points $p \in S$ we have an isomorphism of groups

$$\pi_1(X/S, s)_p \cong \pi_1(X_p, s(p)),$$

of the fibre of the sheaf at p with the topological fundamental group of the fibre X_p of f at p with base point $s(p)$.

To construct $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ we choose for any point p of S a connected open neighbourhood $U_p \subset S$ and a topological isomorphism

$$\phi_p : f^{-1}(U_p) \cong X_p \times U_p.$$

Such can be found compatible with f and the projection to U_p , inducing the identity on X_p and such that $\phi_p \circ s$ equals $q \mapsto (s(p), q)$. Over U_p we take $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ constant with fibre $\pi_1(X_p, s(p))$.

To glue these we note that given two points $p_1, p_2 \in S$ there is for any $q \in U_{p_1} \cap U_{p_2}$ an identification

$$X_{p_1} = X_{p_1} \times \{q\} \xrightarrow{\phi_{p_1}^{-1}} X_q \xrightarrow{\phi_{p_2}} X_{p_2} \times \{q\} = X_{p_2}.$$

This identification is compatible with base points $s(p_i)$ and depends continuously on $q \in U_{p_1} \cap U_{p_2}$. This means that the induced isomorphism

$$\pi_1(X_{p_1}, s(p_1)) \cong \pi_1(X_{p_2}, s(p_2))$$

is constant on the connected components of $U_{p_1} \cap U_{p_2}$. Hence we get the desired gluing. We leave to the reader the trivial verification that these gluings satisfy the desired cocycle condition on $U_{p_1} \cap U_{p_2} \cap U_{p_3}$.

If we choose other ϕ_p , say ϕ'_p , then for $q \in U_p$ the map $X_q \rightarrow X_p \times \{q\} \rightarrow X_q$, using first ϕ_p^{-1} then ϕ'_p , is homotopic to the identity. Hence the resulting sheaves $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ are canonically isomorphic. A similar argument deals with the shrinking of the neighbourhoods U_p .

Proposition 2.1.2 *The construction given above defines a locally constant sheaf of groups $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ over S , characterized by the following properties:*

1. *For any point $p \in S$ there is given an isomorphism $\pi_1(X/S, s)_p \cong \pi_1(X_p, s(p))$.*
2. *The monodromy action of $\pi_1(S, p)$ on the fibre of the locally constant sheaf*

$$\rho : \pi_1(S, p) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(X/S, s)_p)$$

agrees, via the isomorphism of 1), with the action of $\pi_1(S, p)$ on $\pi_1(X_p, s(p))$ deduced from the split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X_p, s(p)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, s(p)) \xleftarrow{s_*} \pi_1(S, p) \longrightarrow 1.$$

3. *The construction of $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ commutes with arbitrary base change $S' \rightarrow S$.*

Proof. Suppose $\gamma \in \pi_1(S, p)$ and $\alpha \in \pi_1(X_p, s(p))$. It is well known and easy to prove that $s_*(\gamma)\alpha s_*(\gamma^{-1})$ is equal to the horizontal transportation of the loop α over γ . Clearly this describes the monodromy representation for the sheaf $\pi_1(X/S, s)$. The proof of the other assertions is left to the reader. $\square$

Remark 2.1.3 Suppose $s' : S \rightarrow X$ is a second section of f . In general $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ is not isomorphic to $\pi_1(X/S, s')$. However, locally on S , say over $U \subset S$, we can choose a homotopy H between s and s' . This will induce an identification

$$i_H : \pi_1(X/S, s)|_U \cong \pi_1(X/S, s')|_U.$$

This is unique up to an *inner automorphism* of $\pi_1(X/S, s)$. Indeed, if H' is another such homotopy, then combining H and H' gives a family of loops in X over U , with base points $s(u)$, i.e., a section of $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ over U . The map $(i_{H'})^{-1} \circ i_H$ is equal to conjugation with this section.

2.1.4 The algebraic case

Here we consider a proper smooth morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow S$ with connected geometric fibres. As before we have a section $s : S \rightarrow X$ of the morphism f . Further, we assume given a set of primes $\mathbb{L}$ such that all residue characteristics of S are *not* in $\mathbb{L}$.

We recall some general notations concerning algebraic fundamental groups. We refer to [SGA 1] and [Mu] for more details. If Y is a scheme, then $\dot{\text{Ét}}(Y)$ denotes the category of finite étale coverings $Y' \rightarrow Y$. If $\bar{p}$ is a geometric point of Y then we denote by $F_{Y,\bar{p}}$ the fundamental functor (or fibre functor) $F_{Y,\bar{p}} : \dot{\text{Ét}}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ which associates to Y' the set of geometric points $\bar{p}' \rightarrow Y'$ lying over $\bar{p} \rightarrow Y$. By definition we have $\pi_1(Y, \bar{p}) = \text{Aut}(F_{Y,\bar{p}})$; this is the fundamental group of Y with base point $\bar{p}$. To compare the fundamental groups with base points $\bar{p}$, resp. $\bar{q}$ we use a path from $\bar{p}$ to $\bar{q}$, i.e., an isomorphism of fibre functors $\alpha : F_{Y,\bar{p}} \rightarrow F_{Y,\bar{q}}$. Obviously, α gives an isomorphism $\alpha_* : \pi_1(Y, \bar{p}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, \bar{q})$. We note that it is independent of the choice of α up to conjugation. A morphism of schemes $h : Y \rightarrow Z$, defines a functor $h^* : \dot{\text{Ét}}(Z) \rightarrow \dot{\text{Ét}}(Y)$, which satisfies $F_{Y,\bar{p}} = F_{Z,h(\bar{p})} \circ h^*$. Therefore we get h_* on loops and on paths.

A slight modification of the above gives $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(Y, \bar{p})$, the algebraic fundamental group classifying Galois coverings of degree in $\mathbb{L}$. Formally it can be defined as

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(Y, \bar{p}) = \varprojlim G,$$

where the limit is taken over all surjections $\pi_1(Y, \bar{p}) \rightarrow G$ onto finite groups G whose orders have only prime factors from $\mathbb{L}$.

In the sequel we will use the following results from [SGA 1]: The sequence

$$\pi_1(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, s(\bar{p})) \longrightarrow \pi_1(S, \bar{p}) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact. If we take the pushout of this sequence with the surjection $\pi_1(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, \bar{p})$ then the sequence also becomes left exact

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, \bar{p}) \longrightarrow \pi_1'(X, s(\bar{p})) \xrightarrow{s_*} \pi_1(S, \bar{p}) \longrightarrow 1. \quad (*)$$

See [SGA 1, Exposé XII 4.3, 4.4]. As before the section s defines a splitting $s_* : \pi_1(S, \bar{p}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, s(\bar{p}))$.

Proposition 2.1.5 ([SGA 1, Exposé XII 4.5]) *There is a pro-object in the category of locally constant sheaves of groups on $S_{\text{ét}}$, denoted $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)$, determined up to unique isomorphism by the following properties:*

1. *For any geometric point $\bar{p}$ of S there is given an isomorphism*

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)_{\bar{p}} \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})).$$

2. *The monodromy presentation*

$$\rho : \pi_1(S, \bar{p}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)_{\bar{p}})$$

equals, via 1), the action of $\pi_1(S, \bar{p})$ on $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p}))$ deduced from ().*

3. *The construction of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)$ commutes with arbitrary base change $S' \rightarrow S$.*

Proof. Of course we may assume that S is connected. Take a geometric point $\bar{p}$ of S . First we note that, since $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p}))$ is topologically finitely generated, it is the direct limit of its characteristic finite quotients:

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})) = \varprojlim_{\omega} G_{\omega}$$

The action of $\pi_1(S, \bar{p})$ on $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p}))$ deduced from (*) gives an action ρ_{ω} on each G_{ω} . This defines a finite locally constant étale sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$ on $S_{\text{ét}}$ whose fibre in $\bar{p}$ is given by G_{ω} and monodromy action equal to ρ_{ω} . We put

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s) = \varprojlim_{\omega} \mathcal{F}_{\omega}.$$

This immediately gives 1) and 2) for our chosen point $\bar{p}$. Part 3) is also clear if there exists a lift of $\bar{p}$ to a geometric point of S' , see [SGA 1].

Thus it suffices to prove 1) and 2) for a second geometric point $\bar{q}$ of S . Note that by definition

$$\pi_1(X, s(\bar{p})) = \text{Aut}(F_{S, \bar{p}} \circ s^*).$$

Hence if we choose an isomorphism $\alpha : F_{S, \bar{p}} \rightarrow F_{S, \bar{q}}$ then we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X, s(\bar{p})) & \xleftarrow{s_*} & \pi_1(S, \bar{p}) \\ \downarrow \alpha_* & & \downarrow \alpha_* \\ \pi_1(X, s(\bar{q})) & \xleftarrow{s_*} & \pi_1(S, \bar{q}). \end{array}$$

By (*) this induces an isomorphism

$$\alpha^{\mathbb{L}} : \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{q}}, s(\bar{q})).$$

This is an isomorphism compatible with the actions of $\pi_1(S, \bar{p})$ and $\pi_1(S, \bar{q})$, compared via α_* .

The fibre of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)$ at $\bar{q}$ is by definition

$$F_{S, \bar{q}}(\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} F_{S, \bar{p}}(\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)) = \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})).$$

If we use $\alpha^{\mathbb{L}}$ to identify this with $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{q}}, s(\bar{q}))$ then we see by the above that the monodromy action on this exactly corresponds to the action deduced from (*) (with $\bar{q}$ in stead of $\bar{p}$). We leave to the reader the verification that another choice of α gives the same identification

$$\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)_{\bar{q}} \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{q}}, s(\bar{q})).$$

□

Suppose $s' : S \rightarrow X$ is a second section of f . Take a geometric point $\bar{p}$ of S . We write $i_{\bar{p}}$ for the morphism $X_{\bar{p}} \rightarrow X$. We say that a path β on X connecting $s(\bar{p})$ to $s'(\bar{p})$ lies in $X_{\bar{p}}$ if there exists a path $\tilde{\beta}$ in $X_{\bar{p}}$ such that $i_{\bar{p},*}(\tilde{\beta}) = \beta$. We remark that any path β connecting $s(\bar{p})$ to $s'(\bar{p})$ lies in $X_{\bar{p}}$ if and only if $f_*(\beta) = 1$ (in $\pi_1(S, \bar{p})$). This is easily seen using the first exact sequence above.

Let us take such a β lying in $X_{\bar{p}}$. It gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1'(X, s(\bar{p})) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S, \bar{p}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \beta_* & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s'(\bar{p})) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1'(X, s'(\bar{p})) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S, \bar{p}) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

The isomorphism $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})) \cong \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s'(\bar{p}))$ determined in this way is unique up to inner conjugation. We constructed $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)$, resp. $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s')$ as the limit of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_{\omega}$, resp. $\mathcal{F}'_{\omega}$ corresponding to characteristic quotients $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p})) \rightarrow G_{\omega}$, resp. $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s') \rightarrow G_{\omega}$. Note that the isomorphisms $G_{\omega} \rightarrow G'_{\omega}$ induced from the above are also unique up to inner conjugation.

Let $S_{\omega} \rightarrow S$ be the finite étale covering of S trivializing the action of $\pi_1(S, \bar{p})$ on both G_{ω} and G'_{ω} . We can use the above to get an isomorphism of (constant) sheaves of groups

$$\mathcal{F}_{\omega}|_{S_{\omega}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'_{\omega}|_{S_{\omega}}.$$

We claim this isomorphism is unique up to inner conjugation. This is clear if we only change β , but what happens if we change $\bar{p}$ to $\bar{q}$? Take $\alpha : F_{S, \bar{p}} \rightarrow F_{S, \bar{q}}$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.1.5. What we have to check is that $s'_*(\alpha) \circ \beta \circ s_*(\alpha^{-1})$ is a path connecting $s(\bar{q})$ to $s'(\bar{q})$ lying in $X_{\bar{q}}$. But this is clear since $f_*(s'_*(\alpha) \circ \beta \circ s_*(\alpha^{-1})) = \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1} = 1$.

Corollary 2.1.6 *The construction above defines locally in the étale topology on S identifications of the finite quotients of the sheaves $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)$ and $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s')$. These identifications are unique up to inner conjugation and agree via 1) of Proposition 2.1.5 with the usual identifications of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s(\bar{p}))$ and $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, s'(\bar{p}))$.*

2.2 Exterior homomorphisms

In this section we define the sheaf of exterior homomorphisms of the relative fundamental group of X over S into a fixed finite group G . As in [DM, 5.5] this sheaf will be denoted $\mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), G)$ and will be a finite locally constant sheaf of sets on S (or $S_{\text{ét}}$). We note that $\pi_1(X/S)$ has not been defined.

2.2.1 The analytic case

Here $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a proper smooth morphism of analytic spaces with connected fibres.

If f has a section s then we can look at the locally constant sheaf

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}om(\pi_1(X/S, s), G)$$

on S . It has finite fibres since $\pi_1(X_p, s(p))$ is finitely generated for all p in S . There is a natural action of the sheaf $\pi_1(X/S, s)$ on the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ given by conjugation. We define the sheaf of exterior homomorphisms as the quotient of $\mathcal{F}$ by this action

$$\mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), G) := \mathcal{F} / \pi_1(X/S, s).$$

It is clear from Remark 2.1.3 that the right hand side does not depend on the chosen section s .

In general, we choose an open covering $S = \bigcup U_i$ such that $X \rightarrow S$ has a section over each U_i . The sheaf $\mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), G)$ is then defined by gluing the sheaves constructed above. The fibres are described by the formula:

$$\mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), G)_p = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X_p, q), G) / \pi_1(X_p, q),$$

where q is any point of the fibre X_p . Note that the monodromy action of $\gamma \in \pi_1(S, p)$ on this is given by horizontal transport of loops in $\pi_1(X_p, q)$.

2.2.2 The algebraic case

Here we assume that S is a scheme over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\#\mathbb{K}/\#G])$. The morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ is still assumed proper smooth with connected geometric fibres.

The construction of $\mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), G)$ in this case is exactly the same as for the analytic case. We use $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)$, where $\mathbb{L}$ is the set of primes dividing $\#G$, and we use that sections of f exist locally in the étale topology on S . We use also Corollary 2.1.6. The geometric fibres of the resulting sheaf can be described as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), G)_{\bar{p}} = \text{Hom}(\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, \bar{q}), G) / \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X_{\bar{p}}, \bar{q}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X_p, q), G) / \pi_1(X_p, q),$$

the last equality holds in view of our definition of $\mathbb{L}$. This justifies dropping $\mathbb{L}$ from the notations.

2.2.3 Comparison

We note that if S is a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ there is a canonical homomorphism $\pi_1(X^{an}/S^{an}, s) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(X/S, s)^{an}$, identifying the relevant finite quotient sheaves. Clearly this gives rise to an identification of sheaves of exterior homomorphisms.

2.3 Teichmüller level structures

In this section the morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ will be a family of smooth projective curves of genus g . The abstract finite group G will be fixed. In both the analytic case and the algebraic case we make the following definition.

Definition 2.3.1 [DM, 5.6] *A Teichmüller structure α of level G on $X \rightarrow S$ is a surjective exterior homomorphism*

$$\alpha \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), G)).$$

Thus locally on S (resp. $S_{\acute{e}t}$) α corresponds to a surjective homomorphism $\pi_1(X/S, s) \rightarrow G$.

We want to consider the moduli spaces parametrizing smooth stable curves of genus g with a Teichmüller structure of level G . However, as usual, it is more convenient to work with stacks. Thus let ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$ denote the stack whose category of sections over the scheme S (lying over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{K}/\#G])$) is the category of smooth stable curves $X \rightarrow S$ of genus g endowed with a Teichmüller structure of level G , see [DM, Section 5]. The construction of $\mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), G)$ shows that the stack ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$ is representable finite étale over $\mathcal{M}_g[1/\#G]$. Thus ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$ is a separated algebraic stack, smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{K}/\#G])$.

In a similar way we define the analytic stack ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g^{an}$ classifying complex curves with a Teichmüller structure of level G . By comparing the stacks ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$ and ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g^{an}$ with the stack $\mathcal{M}_g$ and using the known result for M_g one derives easily the following result.

Theorem 2.3.2 *With notations as above.*

1. *A coarse moduli scheme ${}_GM_g$ for ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$ exists. It is separated of finite type over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{K}/\#G])$.*
2. *A coarse analytic moduli space ${}_GM_g^{an}$ for ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g^{an}$ exists. It is canonically isomorphic to the analytic space associated to the complex variety ${}_GM_g \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

Suppose we have a surjection $G \rightarrow G'$. There is a natural forgetful morphism ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow {}_{G'}\mathcal{M}_g$. This morphism is representable finite étale. Hence, if ${}_{G'}\mathcal{M}_g$ is a scheme (i.e., isomorphic to ${}_{G'}M_g$), then so is ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$. In this case the morphism ${}_{G'}M_g \rightarrow {}_GM_g$ is finite étale (perhaps of degree 0). Finally, we note that ${}_G\mathcal{M}_g$ might be empty, this being the case if G is not isomorphic to a quotient of the fundamental group of a Riemann surface of genus g .

2.3.3 Abelian level structures

In this section we treat the algebraic case. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth stable curve of genus g over S . Fix a natural number m . An abelian structure structure of level m on X over S is defined as an isomorphism of étale sheaves over S

$$(\mathbb{Z}/>\mathbb{Z})_{\mathbb{S}}^{\neq \emptyset} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\neq} \mathcal{U}_*(\mathbb{Z}/>\mathbb{Z}).$$

Such a level structure can only exist if the base scheme S lies over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\neq/>])$; let us assume this is the case.

Note that the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om^{ext}(\pi_1(X/S), \mathbb{Z}/>\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the subsheaf of primitive elements in $R^1 f_*(\mathbb{Z}/>\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we see that the moduli stack of curves with an abelian level m structure is isomorphic to the stack ${}_G \mathcal{M}_g$ with $G = (\mathbb{Z}/>\mathbb{Z})^{\neq \emptyset}$. In particular, if $m \geq 3$, then ${}_G \mathcal{M}_g$ is a fine moduli scheme smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\neq/>])$. The following is deduced from the above.

Proposition 2.3.4 *If the finite group G allows a surjection onto $(\mathbb{Z}/>\mathbb{Z})^{\neq \emptyset}$ for some $m \geq 3$ then the coarse moduli scheme ${}_G \mathcal{M}_g$ is a fine moduli scheme.*

2.3.5 Compactifications

In order to get compact moduli spaces we just take the normalization with respect to the Deligne-Mumford compactification. In this subsection the finite group G will be fixed, of order $n = \#G$.

Consider the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\mathcal{M}_g \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. We define $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g$ as the normalization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g[1/n]$ with respect to ${}_G \mathcal{M}_g$. Similarly we define $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g^{an}$ as the normalization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^{an}$ with respect to ${}_G \mathcal{M}_g^{an}$. As per convention we denote $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g$ (resp. $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g^{an}$) the associated coarse moduli scheme (resp. analytic moduli space). The morphism

$$\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\neq/\times])$$

is proper, since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g[1/n]$ is proper over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\neq/\times])$. To see whether this morphism is smooth we have the following criterion.

Proposition 2.3.6 *For finite groups G which allow a surjection $G \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/>\mathbb{Z})^{\neq \emptyset}$ for some $m \geq 3$ the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *The morphism $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\neq/\times])$ is smooth.*
2. *The analytic space $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g^{an}$ is a (nonsingular) complex manifold.*

Proof. We use that $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g^{an}$ is isomorphic to the analytic space associated to the variety $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Thus it suffices to show that $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g \otimes \mathbb{C}$ nonsingular implies $\overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ nonsingular, where $p > 0$ and p does not divide n . The argument will be based on the fact that the morphism $\varphi : \overline{{}_G \mathcal{M}}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g[1/n]$ is tamely ramified along the boundary.

To see this we need a description of the complete local rings of $\overline{G\mathcal{M}_g}$ in points on the boundary. Suppose that C is a stable curve of genus g over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . The singular points of C are $P_1, \dots, P_\ell$. Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_{3g-3}]])$ be the universal deformation of C . We choose the parameters t_i such that $t_i = 0$ defines the locus where P_i survives as a singular point, for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Put $A = W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_{3g-3}]]$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is (uniquely) algebraizable we have a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}_g}$ and

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A[1/t_1 \dots t_\ell]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g.$$

We consider the fibre product

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A[1/t_1 \dots t_\ell]) \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} G\mathcal{M}_g.$$

This is finite étale over $A[1/t_1 \dots t_\ell]$ hence affine. The normalization of this over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is $\mathrm{Spec}(B)$; here B is a product of complete local rings finite over A , ramified only over $t_1 \dots t_\ell = 0$. Thus we have

$$\mathrm{Spec}(B[1/t_1 \dots t_\ell]) = \mathrm{Spec}(A[1/t_1 \dots t_\ell]) \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} G\mathcal{M}_g.$$

We claim that the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \overline{G\mathcal{M}_g}$ identifies complete local rings at the points of $\overline{G\mathcal{M}_g}$ lying over $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}_g}(k)$. By general theory we know that the completion of $\overline{\mathcal{M}_g}$ at $[C]$ is $\mathrm{Spf}(A)/\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. A formal argument gives that the completion of $\overline{G\mathcal{M}_g}$ along $\varphi^{-1}([C])$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spf}(B)/\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. Thus it suffices to show that the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ on $\varphi^{-1}([C])$ is free. By comparing levels, it suffices to show this for $G = (\mathbb{Z}/\geq \mathbb{Z})^{\neq 0}$; this is the content of [Del, Proposition 3.5]. See also references in remark below.

We use Abhyankar's lemma which asserts that any normal local domain A' , finite generically étale over A and ramified only along $t_1 \dots t_\ell = 0$ is contained in $A[t_i^{1/n_i}]$ for some $n_1, \dots, n_\ell$ relatively prime to p . In addition, it is easily seen that A' is formally smooth over $W(k)$ if and only if A' is actually equal to $A[t_i^{1/n_i}]$ for some $n_1, \dots, n_\ell$.

Let C_K denote the lift of C to $K = Q(W(k))$ given by setting $t_1 = \dots = t_{3g-3} = 0$. The homomorphism $A \rightarrow K[[t_1, \dots, t_{3g-3}]]$ is such that

$$B \otimes_A K[[t_1, \dots, t_{3g-3}]]$$

describes the complete local rings of $\overline{G\mathcal{M}_g}$ along $\varphi^{-1}([C_K])$. The result follows by comparing B to this ring. $\square$

Remark 2.3.7 The arguments above actually show that in the situation of the proposition the stacks $\overline{G\mathcal{M}_g}$ are schemes, i.e., $\overline{G\mathcal{M}_g} \cong \overline{G\mathcal{M}_g}$. Thus we get a stable curve over $\overline{G\mathcal{M}_g}$ from the morphism $\overline{G\mathcal{M}_g} \cong \overline{G\mathcal{M}_g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}_g}$; this also follows from the existence of such a stable curve in the case of abelian level structures, see [P, Thm. 10.9], [GO, page 12] and [Mo, Bemerkung 1].

3 Monodromy along the boundary

In this section we study the moduli spaces $\overline{{}_G M_g}$ along the boundary. To do this it suffices to understand the monodromy along the boundary on the relative fundamental group of the universal curve over $\mathcal{M}_g^{an}$.

3.1 The results

Let us formulate the main result. Let Π denote the standard fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface of genus g . We fix natural numbers k, n with $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$. We will consider moduli of curves of genus g with a Teichmüller structure of level G , where

$$G = \Pi / \Pi^{(k+1), n}.$$

(For notations see 1.1.) By a result of Labute [Lab], the quotients $\Pi^{(k)} / \Pi^{(k+1)}$ are finitely generated free abelian groups. Thus G has a filtration whose successive quotients are finite abelian groups of exponent n . Any prime dividing $\#G$ also divides n . Further, there is a surjection $G \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{n}\mathbb{Z})^{\neq 0}$. By Section 2 we get a moduli scheme

$$\overline{{}_G M_g} \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{n}])$$

whose interior ${}_G M_g$ classifies smooth genus g curves C with a surjection $\pi_1(C) \rightarrow G$ given up to inner automorphisms.

Theorem 3.1.1 *Suppose $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $n \geq 3$. The structural morphism $\overline{{}_G M_g} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{n}])$ is smooth if and only if*

- $k = 1$ and $g = 2$,
- $k = 2$ and n is odd,
- $k = 3$ and n is odd or n is divisible by 4.

Furthermore, if $k \geq 4$, $n \geq 3$ and n relatively prime to 6, then $\overline{{}_G M_g} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{n}])$ is smooth.

Remark 3.1.2 The abelian case of this theorem, i.e., the case $k = 1$, has been proven by Mostafa [Mo] and Van Geemen-Oort [GO].

A smooth and compact cover $\overline{{}_G M_g}^{an} \rightarrow \overline{{}_G M_g}^{an}$ with n even and $n \geq 6$ has been constructed by Looijenga using Prym level structures, see [L]. This has been extended to higher level structures $\overline{{}_G M_g}^{[n]}^{an}$ by one of us (Pikaart). Using an analog of Proposition 2.3.6 this result may be extended to an open set of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, at least if $q \geq 3$.

We note that $\overline{{}_G M_g} \rightarrow \overline{{}_G M_g}[1/n]$ is a Galois cover with group $\text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inn}(G)$ if $g \geq 3$ (if $g = 2$ one has to divide out an additional $\{\pm 1\}$). This follows since for any surjection $\Pi \rightarrow G$ the kernel is equal to $\Pi^{(k), n}$. However, $\overline{{}_G M_g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ need not be connected, see [DM, 5.13] for a description of the set of connected components.

To prove the theorem, it suffices to consider $\overline{GM_g^{an}}$, see Proposition 2.3.6. We already know that GM_g^{an} is smooth. As in the proof of Proposition 2.3.6 we describe analytic neighbourhoods of points in the boundary.

Let C be a complex stable curve of genus g with singular points $\{P_1, \dots, P_\ell\}$. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(C)$ be its dual graph; an edge for each point P_j , a vertex for an irreducible component of C . Let $\pi : (\mathcal{C}, C) \rightarrow (B, 0)$ be a local universal deformation of C , where $B \subset \mathbb{C}^{\#\tilde{\partial}-\sim}$ is a polydisc neighbourhood of 0. The coordinates z_i are chosen such that $z_j = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ parametrizes curves where the singular point P_j subsists. The discriminant locus $\Delta \subset B$ of π is thus given by $z_1 \dots z_\ell = 0$. Put $U = B \setminus \Delta$, let $x \in U$ and choose $y \in \mathcal{C}_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$. The fundamental group of U is an abelian group, freely generated by simple loops around the divisors $z_j = 0$, thus naturally isomorphic to the free abelian group on the edges of Γ , i.e., $\pi_1(U, x) \cong \bigoplus_{e \in \text{Edges}(\Gamma)} \mathbb{Z}e$. The map $\mathcal{C}|_U \rightarrow U$ is a locally trivial fibration, hence we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{C}_x, y) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{C}|_U, y) \longrightarrow \pi_1(U, x) \longrightarrow 1.$$

(Use that $\pi_2(U) = (0)$.) This short exact sequence provides us with the monodromy representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(U, x) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_x, y)).$$

The points P_j determine non-trivial distinct isotopy classes of circles on $\mathcal{C}_x$, which have pairwise disjoint representatives c_j . The fundamental group of U is also naturally isomorphic to the free abelian group on these circles, $\pi_1(U, x) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}c_i$. Under this identification we have that

$$\rho(c_i) = D_{c_i},$$

where D_{c_i} is the exterior automorphism of $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_x)$ given by a Dehn twist (also written D_{c_j}) around the circle c_i (see [Deh], [Lam]).

We will describe of a neighbourhood of a point in $\overline{GM_g^{an}}$ lying above $[C]$. Let Z be the fibre product

$$Z = U \times_{M_g^{an}} GM_g^{an}.$$

The normalization of B in the function field of Z is denoted $\bar{Z}$. Note that $Z \rightarrow U$ is a finite topological covering space given by the set

$$S = \text{Hom-surj}(\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_x, y), G)/\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_x, y)$$

with $\pi_1(U, x)$ -action defined via ρ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.3.6 there is an action of $\text{Aut}(C)$ on $\bar{Z}$ and $\bar{Z}/\text{Aut}(C)$ defines a neighbourhood of $\varphi^{-1}([C]) \subset \overline{GM_g^{an}}$. As in that proof we get that $\overline{GM_g^{an}}$ is smooth along $\varphi^{-1}([C])$ if and only if $\bar{Z}$ is smooth. (Here we use again that $\text{Aut}(C)$ acts freely on $\varphi^{-1}([C])$.) Finally there is the following criterion: $\bar{Z}$ is smooth if and only if for all $s \in S$ we have

$$\text{Stab}(s) = \bigoplus_{e \in \text{Edges}(\Gamma)} n_e \mathbb{Z}e$$

for certain $n_e \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that if $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $mF^0 + mF^1$ (F^i as below) is of this form, but $2mF^0 + mF^1$ is not.

We remark that the arguments above go through for arbitrary finite groups G , with a surjection onto $(\mathbb{Z}/\ltimes \mathbb{Z})^{\times \partial}$. In order to describe the stabilizers in our more special situation we introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(k),n} &= \text{Ker} \left(\text{Aut}(\Pi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Pi/\Pi^{(k+1),n}) \right), \\ I^{(k),n} &= \text{Ker} \left(\text{Inn}(\Pi) \rightarrow \text{Inn}(\Pi/\Pi^{(k+1),n}) \right), \\ O^{(k),n} &= \text{Ker} \left(\text{Out}(\Pi) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\Pi/\Pi^{(k+1),n}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Oda et al. consider a variant with $n = 0$. By choosing an isomorphism $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_x, y) \cong \Pi$ we may view ρ as a map into $\text{Out}(\Pi)$. It is clear that $\text{Stab}(s) = \rho^{-1}(O^{(k),n})$ for any $s \in S$ (use Remark 3.1.2). Therefore, Theorem 3.1.1 follows from Theorem 3.1.3 below.

We will describe a decreasing filtration F^i on $\bigoplus_{e \in \text{Edges}(\Gamma)} \mathbb{Z}e$. An edge e such that $\Gamma \setminus e$ is disconnected is called a *bridge*. A bridge b is said to *bound a genus one curve* if one of the two components of $C_x \setminus \{ \text{the circle corresponding to } b \}$ has genus one. A pair of distinct edges $\{e, f\}$ is called a *cut pair* if neither e nor f is a bridge and $\Gamma \setminus \{e, f\}$ is disconnected. A subset E of the edges of Γ is called a *maximal cut system* if E contains at least one cut pair, any two elements of E form a cut pair and no element of E forms a cut pair with an element outside E . Let B be the set of bridges of Γ and let B_1 be the subset of B consisting of bridges which bound genus one curves. Let $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ denote the maximal cut systems. Set

$$D_i := \text{Ker} \left(\bigoplus_{e \in E_i} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z} \right).$$

We define a decreasing filtration F^i on $\bigoplus_{e \in \text{Edges}(\Gamma)} \mathbb{Z}$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F^0 &= \bigoplus_{e \in \text{Edges}(\Gamma)} \mathbb{Z}e, \\ F^1 &= \bigoplus_{i \in I} D_i \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{b \in B} \mathbb{Z}b \right), \\ F^2 &= \bigoplus_{b \in B} \mathbb{Z}b, \\ F^3 &= (0). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, set $F_1^2 := \bigoplus_{b \in B_1} \mathbb{Z}b$. This refines the filtration into $F^0 \supset F^1 \supset F^2 \supset F_1^2 \supset F^3 = (0)$. Here is the main result of this article.

Theorem 3.1.3 *Notations as above. For $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $n_l := n/\text{gcd}(l, n)$.*

1. If $k = 1$, then $\rho^{-1}(O^{(k),n}) = nF^0 + F^1$
2. If $k = 2$, then $\rho^{-1}(O^{(k),n}) = nF^0 + n_2F^1 + F^2$
3. If $k = 3$ and $2 \nmid n$, then $\rho^{-1}(O^{(k),n}) = nF^0 + \frac{1}{2}nF^1 + n_2F^2 + n_6F_1^2$
 If $k = 3$ and n is odd or $4 \mid n$, then $\rho^{-1}(O^{(k),n}) = nF^0 + nF^1 + n_2F^2 + n_6F_1^2$
4. If $k \geq 4$ and $(n, 6) = 1$, then $\rho^{-1}(O^{(k),n}) = nF^0$

Remark 3.1.4 The case $k = 1$ has been proven by Brylinski ([B]). The case $k \geq 4$ follows from 3, the easy inclusions of Section 4 and the inclusions $O^{(k),n} \subset O^{(l),n}$ if $k \geq l$.

If we take $n = 0$ then Theorem 3.1.3 reduces to the non-pointed case of [AMO, Main Theorem].

4 Description of Dehn twists and easy inclusions

In this section we will prove the inclusions “ $\supset$ ” from Theorem 3.1.3.

Let Γ be the graph of a stable curve and $(S, \{c_i\})$ the smooth model with a set of circles as described in Subsection 3.1. We will describe the Dehn twist associated to a bridge, cut pair or circle separately.

4.1 Bridges

Let b be a bridge on S , let g be the genus of S . Modulo a homeomorphism the situation looks as follows:

Fig. 1

Cutting S along b yields the decomposition $S = S_1 \cup S_2$. Let g_i be the genus of S_i . Choose a base point p in S_1 and standard generators $\alpha_{\pm i}$, $1 \leq i \leq g$, for $\pi := \pi_1(S, p)$ such that $\alpha_{\pm i}$ are in S_1 if $i \leq g_1$ and $\alpha_{\pm i}$ for $i \geq g_1 + 1$ hits b exactly twice. We set $v = [\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}] \cdots [\alpha_{g_1}, \alpha_{-g_1}]$, it is freely homotopic to b for a suitable orientation of b . We list the effect of the Dehn twist D_b on the standard generators:

$$\begin{array}{ll} i \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm g_1\} & D_b : \alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_i, \\ i \in \{\pm(g_1 + 1), \dots, \pm g\} & D_b : \alpha_i \mapsto v^{-1} \alpha_i v \end{array}$$

This gives for the m th-powers:

$$\begin{array}{ll} i \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm g_1\} & D_b^m : \alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_i, \\ i \in \{\pm(g_1 + 1), \dots, \pm g\} & D_b^m : \alpha_i \mapsto v^{-m} \alpha_i v^m \end{array}$$

We see that $D_b(\alpha_{\pm i})\alpha_{\pm i}^{-1} \in \pi^{(3)}$ for all i . This proves that $\rho(b) \in O^{(2)}$ and thus by linearity $\rho(F^2) \subset O^{(2)}$.

Suppose b bounds a genus one curve, say S_2 has genus one. In this case $v = [\alpha_{-g}, \alpha_g]$ and we only have to consider

$$D_b^m(\alpha_{\pm g})\alpha_{\pm g}^{-1} = [[\alpha_g, \alpha_{-g}]^m, \alpha_{\pm g}] \equiv [[\alpha_g, \alpha_{-g}], \alpha_{\pm g}]^m \pmod{\pi^{(4)}}.$$

To prove this element lies in $\pi^{(4),n}$ we define $f : F(x, y, z) \rightarrow \pi$ by $x \mapsto \alpha_g$, $y \mapsto \alpha_{-g}$ and $z \mapsto 1$. Then $f([x, y], x) = [[\alpha_g, \alpha_{-g}], \alpha_g]$ and $f([x, y], y) = [[\alpha_g, \alpha_{-g}], \alpha_{-g}]$. From Lemma 6.3 we see that $n_6|m$ implies that the element above lies in $\pi^{(4),n}$. Thus $\rho(n_6 F_1^2) \subset O^{(3),n}$.

Suppose b does not bound a genus one curve. In that case we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_b^m(\alpha_{\pm i})\alpha_{\pm i}^{-1} &= [v^m, \alpha_{\pm i}^{-1}] \equiv [v, \alpha_{\pm i}]^{-m} \\ &\equiv [[\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}], \alpha_{\pm i}]^{-m} \cdots [[\alpha_{g_1}, \alpha_{-g_1}], \alpha_{\pm i}]^{-m} \end{aligned}$$

for $i > g_1$ in $\pi/\pi^{(4)}$. Define $g_j : F(x, y, z) \rightarrow \pi$ by $x \mapsto \alpha_j$, $y \mapsto \alpha_{-j}$ and $z \mapsto \alpha_{\pm i}$. Then $g_j([x, y], z)^m = [[\alpha_j, \alpha_{-j}], \alpha_{\pm i}]^m$. From Lemma 6.3 we see that $n_2|m$ implies $\rho(mb) \in O^{(3),n}$, and thus $\rho(n_2 F^2) \subset O^{(3),n}$.

4.2 Edges which are not bridges

Let c be a circle on S which is not a bridge. Modulo a homeomorphism the situation looks as follows:

Fig. 2

We choose a point p in S and standard generators $\alpha_{\pm i}$ for $\pi = \pi_1(S, p)$ such that α_{-g} is the only one intersecting c and α_g is freely homotopic to c . The action of the Dehn twist becomes: $D_c(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$ if $i \neq -g$ and $D_c(\alpha_{-g}) = \alpha_g \alpha_{-g}$. Thus $D_c^m(\alpha_i)\alpha_i^{-1} = 1$ or α_g^m . Evidently, if $n|m$ then $\rho(mc) \in O^{(k),n}$ for all k . Together with the results of 4.1 this gives $\rho(nF^0) \subset O^{(k),n}$ for all k .

4.3 Cut systems

Let e_1, e_2 be a cut pair on S . Modulo a homeomorphism the situation is as follows:

Fig. 3

Cutting S along e_1 and e_2 yields the decomposition $S = S_1 \cup S_2$. Let g_1 be the genus of S_1 . Choose a base point p in S_1 . We take standard generators $\alpha_{\pm i}$ for $\pi_1(S, p)$, such that for $i \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm g_1, g_1 + 1\}$, α_i is in S_1 , for $i \in \{\pm(g_1 + 2), \dots, \pm g\}$, α_i enters S_2 via e_1 and leaves S_2 also via e_1 and $\alpha_{-(g_1+1)}$ enters S_2 via e_1 and leaves S_2 via e_2 . Furthermore, we want α_{g_1+1} to be freely homotopic to e_2 , for a suitable orientation of e_2 . It follows that after suitable orientation of e_1 the loop $[\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}] \cdots [\alpha_{g_1}, \alpha_{-g_1}] \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1}$ is freely homotopic to e_1 . Let us write $v = [\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}] \cdots [\alpha_{g_1}, \alpha_{-g_1}]$. We list the effect of the Dehn twists D_{e_i} on these generators:

$$\begin{aligned} i \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm g_1, g_1 + 1\} & \begin{cases} D_{e_1} : \alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_i \\ D_{e_2} : \alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_i \end{cases} \\ i = -(g_1 + 1) & \begin{cases} D_{e_1} : \alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_i v \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1} \\ D_{e_2} : \alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1} \alpha_i \end{cases} \\ i \in \{\pm(g_1 + 2), \dots, \pm g\} & \begin{cases} D_{e_1} : \alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1} \alpha_i v \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1} \\ D_{e_2} : \alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_i \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the following formulae for $D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |i| \leq g_1 & \quad D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1}(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i, \\ i = g_1 + 1 & \quad D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1}(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i, \\ i = -(g_1 + 1) & \quad D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1}(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1} \alpha_i \alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1} \alpha_i^{-1} \alpha_i = [\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}] v^{-1} [v^{-1}, \alpha_i^{-1}] \alpha_i, \\ |i| \geq g_1 + 2 & \quad D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1}(\alpha_i) = v \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1} \alpha_i \alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1} \alpha_i^{-1} \alpha_i = v [\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}] v^{-1} [v^{-1}, \alpha_i^{-1}] \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

and for the m th powers:

$$\begin{aligned} i \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm g_1, g_1 + 1\} & \quad (D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1})^m(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i, \\ i = -(g_1 + 1) & \quad (D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1})^m(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-m} \alpha_i (\alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1})^m, \\ i \in \{\pm(g_1 + 2), \dots, \pm g\} & \quad (D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1})^m(\alpha_i) = (v \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1})^m \alpha_i (\alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1})^m. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that for a cut pair $\{e_1, e_2\}$ we have $\rho(e_2 - e_1) \in O^{(1)}$. It follows from this and 4.1 that $\rho(F^1) \subset O^{(1)}$, because F^1 is generated by elements of the form $e_2 - e_1$ for a cut pair $\{e_1, e_2\}$ and the elements b , $b \in B$.

To finish the proof of the inclusions “ $\supset$ ” we have to show that $\rho(me_2 - me_1) \in O^{(2),n}$ if $n_2|m$ and $\rho(me_2 - me_1) \in O^{(3),n}$ if $n|m$, or $\frac{1}{2}n|m$ in case $2||m$. We have to show that the divisibility conditions imply $(D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1})^m(\alpha_i) \alpha_i^{-1} \in \pi^{(3),n}$, respectively $\pi^{(4),n}$. We will make computations in π modulo $\pi^{(4)}$. In the case that $i \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm g_1, g_1 + 1\}$ there is nothing to prove. We have $(\alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1})^m \equiv \alpha_{g_1+1}^m v^{-m} [v^{-1}, \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} \pmod{\pi^{(4)}}$, as one can prove by induction. From equality 5 of Subsection 6 we have

$$\alpha_{g_1+1}^{-m} \alpha_i \alpha_{g_1+1}^m \alpha_i^{-1} \equiv [\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}]^m [[\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}], \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)}.$$

Thus for $i = -(g_1 + 1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1})^m (\alpha_i) \alpha_i^{-1} \\
& \equiv \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-m} \alpha_i (\alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1})^m \alpha_i^{-1} \\
& \equiv \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-m} \alpha_i \alpha_{g_1+1}^m v^{-m} [v^{-1}, \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} \alpha_i^{-1} \\
& \equiv [\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}]^m [[\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}], \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} v^{-m} [v, \alpha_i]^m [v, \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{-\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} \\
& \equiv [\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}]^m [[\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}], \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} [\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}]^m \cdots [\alpha_{g_1}, \alpha_{-g_1}]^m [[\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}], \alpha_i]^m \cdots \\
& \quad [[\alpha_{g_1}, \alpha_{-g_1}], \alpha_i]^m [[\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}], \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{-\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} \cdots [[\alpha_{g_1}, \alpha_{-g_1}], \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{-\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this product there are, apart from factors in $\pi^{(3)}$, a number of terms of the form $[x, y]^m$, $x, y \in \pi$. By the divisibility conditions all these are in $\pi^{(4),n}$, since this is true in the case of a free group $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ by Lemma 6.3. Thus it is clear that the whole product lies in $\pi^{(3),n}$ for $n_2 | m$.

The other terms in the product are of the form $[[x, y], z]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)}$, where $x, y, z \in \pi$. It follows from Lemma 6.3, by mapping $G = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ into π that these terms are in $\pi^{(4),n}$ as soon as $n_2 | \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$. This is equivalent to the condition $n | m$ or $\frac{1}{2}n | m$ in case $2 || n$.

For $i \geq g_1 + 2$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_{e_2} D_{e_1}^{-1})^m (\alpha_i) \alpha_i^{-1} &= (v \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1})^m \alpha_i (\alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1})^m \alpha_i^{-1} \\
&\equiv v^m \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-m} [\alpha_{g_1+1}^{-1}, v]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} \alpha_i \alpha_{g_1+1}^m v^{-m} [v^{-1}, \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)} \alpha_i^{-1} \\
&\equiv [\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}]^m [[\alpha_{g_1+1}, \alpha_i^{-1}], \alpha_{g_1+1}]^{\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)}
\end{aligned}$$

The same argument works to show that our divisibility conditions imply this product lies in either $\pi^{(3),n}$ or $\pi^{(4),n}$.

5 Completion of proof

In this section we will use the following argument. Suppose we are given a morphism $f : S \rightarrow S'$ of compact connected oriented surfaces and a circle c on S . Assume that f maps a tubular neighbourhood of c isomorphically into S' , denote by c' the image of c . Then we have: $f_* \circ D_c = D_{c'} \circ f_*$.

Let Γ be the graph of a stable curve and $(S, \{c_i\})$ the smooth model with a set of circles as described in Subsection 3.1. Let H be the set of circles which are not bridges and are not involved in any cut system. Let B be the set of bridges of Γ and let $\{E_i | i = 1, \dots, s\}$ be the set of maximal cut systems. Choose a numbering $E_i = \{e_{i,0}, \dots, e_{i,f_i}\}$ for each i . Remark that $\text{Edges}(\Gamma) = H \cup \bigcup E_i \cup B$.

5.1 The case $k = 1$

We want to prove the inclusion “ $\subset$ ” for $k = 1$. Suppose $\sigma \in \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$ is a counterexample which involves a minimal number of edges. This means that $\rho(\sigma)$ lies in

$O^{(1),n}$ but not all coefficients of σ are divisible by n ; the number of nonzero coefficients is minimal. We write $\sigma = \sum n_c c$. We may subtract elements of $nF_0 + F_1$ from σ : we already know that $nF_0 + F_1$ maps into $O^{(1),n}$. Thus, by minimality, we may suppose that: (i) none of the nonzero coefficients n_c is divisible by n , (ii) none of the circles c is a bridge, and (iii) of each cutsystem E_i at most one element occurs in σ . Modulo a homeomorphism the situation looks as follows:

Fig. 4

Take a point p near c . It is clear that we can find a loop α which intersects c exactly once and none of the other edges involved in σ . But now we have a contradiction, because by Section 4.2 we know: $\rho(\sigma)(\alpha)\alpha^{-1} = c^{n_c} \notin \pi^n$ if n does not divide n_c . Thus we have proven $\rho^{-1}(O^{(1),n}) \subset nF^0 + F^1$, equality follows from sections 4.2 and 4.3.

5.2 The case $k = 2$

We want to prove the inclusion “ $\subset$ ” for $k = 2$. Let $\sigma = \sum_{b \in B} m_b b + \sum_{i,j} m_{i,j} (e_{i,j} - e_{i,0}) + \sum_i m_i e_{i,0} + \sum_{c \in H} n_c c$ be such that $\rho(\sigma) \in O^{(2),n}$. By the above we know that $n|m_i$ and $n|n_c, c \in H$. We have to show that $n_2|m_{i,j}$ for all possible i, j . Suppose this does not hold and suppose furthermore that σ is a minimal counterexample with respect to the number of edges involved. Arguing as above we may assume that $\sigma = \sum_{i,j} m_{i,j} (e_{i,j} - e_{i,0})$, where no (nonzero) $m_{i,j}$ is divisible by n_2 .

Proposition 5.2.1 *There is a maximal cut system which is such that if we cut S along every element of the cut system, one connected component contains all other cut systems.*

Proof. (Cf. [AMO], Lemma 5.3.) Take any cut system E . If it does not have the required property, that means that there is more than one connected component of $S \setminus E$, say $S_{E,1}, \dots, S_{E,l}$, which contain maximal cut systems. Suppose $S_{E,j}$ contains a_j maximal cut systems and suppose $a_1 = \min_j \{a_j\}$. Take a maximal cut system F in $S_{E,1}$. Clearly there is a connected component of $S \setminus F$ which contains at least $\sum_{j=2}^l a_j + 1$ components, namely the one containing E . This component contains more maximal cut systems than the one we started with. Continuing in this way, we arrive at our result. $\square$

Let $E_1 = \{e_{1,0}, \dots, e_{1,f_1}\}$ be a maximal cut system such that one of the two components bounded by $e_{1,0}$ and e_{1,f_1} , contains all other cut systems involved in σ . We suppose these edges are numbered cyclically: one of the connected components of $S \setminus \{e_{1,i}, e_{1,i+1}\}$ contains all other $e_{1,j}$ (see figure 6). If E_1 contains more than two edges, we proceed as follows. Replace the component of $S \setminus \{e_{1,1}, e_{1,f_1}\}$ which does not contain $e_{1,0}$ by a cylinder to get an oriented surface S' . There is a continuous map $f : S \rightarrow S'$ such that for all elements $e_{1,j}$, except $e_{1,0}$, we have that $f(e_{1,j})$ is homotopic to $f(e_{1,1})$. As explained above, we get that $f_* \circ \rho(\sigma) = \rho(f_*\sigma) \circ f_*$. Thus $\rho(\sigma) \in O^{(2),n}$ implies $\rho(f_*\sigma) \in O^{(2),n}$. It is also clear that $f_*(\sigma) = m_{1,1}(f(e_{1,1}) - f(e_{1,0})) + \sum_{i>1} m_{i,j}(f(e_{i,j}) - f(e_{i,0}))$. We are reduced to the case that $E_1 = \{e_{1,0}, e_{1,1}\}$ consists of two elements.

The situation now is as in 4.3 (figure 3) where all the cut systems E_i , $i > 1$, lie in the component S_2 . The generator $\alpha_{-(g_1+1)}$ may be chosen such that it does not intersect the circles $e_{i,j}$, $i > 1$. Thus we get from the formulae of Section 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\sigma)(\alpha_{-(g_1+1)}) \cdot \alpha_{-(g_1+1)}^{-1} &= (D_{e_{1,1}} D_{e_{1,0}}^{-1})^{m_{1,1}} (\alpha_{-(g_1+1)}) \cdot \alpha_{-(g_1+1)}^{-1} \\ &= \alpha_{g_1+1}^{-m_{1,1}} \alpha_{-(g_1+1)} (\alpha_{g_1+1} v^{-1})^{m_{1,1}} \alpha_{-(g_1+1)}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We define $f : \pi \rightarrow G = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ by $\alpha_1, \alpha_{-g} \mapsto x$, $\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_g \mapsto y$, $\alpha_{g_1+1} \mapsto z$, other generators $\mapsto 1$. (The defining relation for π is indeed mapped to 1.) The expression above is mapped to $z^{-m_{1,1}} \cdot (z \cdot [y, x])^{m_{1,1}}$. Modulo $G^{(3)}$ this equals $[y, x]^{m_{1,1}}$, hence $\rho(\sigma) \in O^{(3),n}$ implies $n_2 | m_{1,1}$ (see Corollary 6.4). In this way we prove the desired contradiction; the inclusion “ $\subset$ ” for $k = 2$ follows.

5.3 The case $k = 3$

We want to prove the inclusion “ $\subset$ ” for $k = 3$. Let $\sigma = \sum_{b \in B} m_b b + \sum_{i,j} m_{i,j} (e_{i,j} - e_{i,0}) + \sum_i m_i e_{i,0} + \sum_{c \in H} n_c c$ be such that $\rho(\sigma) \in O^{(3),n}$. We have to show that $n_2 | m_{i,j}$ or $n | m_{i,j}$, for all i, j , depending on n being exactly divisible by 2 or not; and n_6 respectively n_2 divides m_b depending on $b \in B_1$ or not. Suppose this does not hold and suppose furthermore that σ is a minimal counterexample with respect to the number of edges involved. Thus $\sigma = \sum_{b \in B} m_b b + \sum_{i,j} m_{i,j} (e_{i,j} - e_{i,0})$ and none of the nonzero $m_b, m_{i,j}$ satisfy the divisibility conditions.

Case 1. For some b involved in σ one of the connected components, say S' , of $S \setminus \{b\}$ contains no other edges involved in σ . The situation looks as follows.

Fig. 5

We take a basepoint p in S' and standard generators $\alpha_{\pm i}$ which are loops in S' for $i \leq g(S')$ and which are not loops in S' for $i > g(S')$. We may choose $\alpha_{g(S')+1}$ such that it does not intersect any circles involved in σ but b . To see this let S'' denote the connected component of $S \setminus \{c \in \sigma, c \neq b\}$ containing S' . If $g(S'') > g(S')$, then there is a ‘hole’ between b and the boundary of S'' , which we can take to be hole number $g(S') + 1$ and take $\alpha_{g(S')+1}$ accordingly. This is the case for example if $S'' = S$ (i.e., if $\sigma = m_b b$) or if S'' is bounded by another bridge b' . If $g(S'') = g(S')$, then S'' is bounded by a cut pair $\{e_1, e_2\}$ (belonging to some maximal cut system E_i), the part $S'' \setminus S'$ looks like a pair of pants. In this case we can choose $\alpha_{g(S')+1}$ to go around a pants leg of $S'' \setminus S'$, for example freely homotopic to e_2 as in Section 4.3.

It is now clear that $\rho(\sigma)(\alpha_{g(S')+1}) = D_b^{m_b}(\alpha_{g(S')+1})$ and by Section 4.1 we get

$$\xi := \rho(\sigma)(\alpha_{g(S')+1}) \cdot \alpha_{g(S')+1}^{-1} = v^{-m_b} \alpha_{g(S')+1} v^{m_b} \alpha_{g(S')+1}^{-1}$$

with $v = [\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}] \cdot \dots \cdot [\alpha_{g(S')}, \alpha_{-g(S')}]$. Thus $\rho(\sigma) \in O^{(3),n}$ implies $\xi \in \pi^{(4),n}$.

If b bounds a curve of genus 1, say $g(S') = 1$ (the case $g - g(S') = 1$ is similar), then $v = [\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1}]$. We define $f : \pi \rightarrow G = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ by $\alpha_1, \alpha_{-2} \mapsto x$, $\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_2 \mapsto y$ and other generators $\mapsto 1$. We see that $f(\xi) = [x, y]^{-m_b} y [x, y]^{m_b} y^{-1} \equiv [[x, y], y]^{-m_b}$ modulo $G^{(4)}$. Thus $\xi \in \pi^{(4),n}$ implies $n_6 | m_b$ by Lemma 6.3.

If b does not bound a curve of genus 1 (i.e., $g(S') \geq 2$ and $g - g(S') \geq 2$) we define $g : \pi \rightarrow G = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ by $\alpha_1, \alpha_{-g} \mapsto x$, $\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_g \mapsto y$, $\alpha_{g(S')+1} \mapsto z^{-1}$ and other generators $\mapsto 1$. We get $g(\xi) = [x, y]^{-m_b} z^{-1} [x, y]^{m_b} z \equiv [[x, y], z]^{m_b}$. Application of Lemma 6.3 gives $n_2 | m_b$ as desired.

Case 2: case 1 does not occur. This means that every connected component of S cut out by one bridge involved in σ contains a maximal cut system. Choose a maximal cut system as in Proposition 5.2.1. Let $E_1 = \{e_{1,0}, \dots, e_{1,f_1}\}$ be this cut system. Suppose the edges are numbered cyclically and suppose the connected component cut out by $e_{1,0}$ and $e_{1,1}$ which does not contain any edge of E_1 contains all other maximal cut systems. Call this component S' . By the choice of E_1 and the assumption, we get that $S \setminus S'$ does not contain any bridges involved in σ . The situation thus looks as shown below:

Fig. 6

Arguing as in the proof for $k = 2$, we arrive at a curve with a cut pair $e_{1,0}$ and $e_{1,1}$ which is a maximal cut system with the property that one of the two components cut out by the cut pair contains all other edges involved in the counter example σ . Considering $f : \pi \rightarrow G$ as in Section 5.2, we get, as in case 1, that the element $z^{-m_{1,1}} \cdot (z \cdot [y, x])^{m_{1,1}}$ lies in $G^{(4),n}$. Note that

$$z^{-m_{1,1}} \cdot (z \cdot [y, x])^{m_{1,1}} \equiv [y, x]^{m_{1,1}} [[y, x], z]^{\frac{1}{2}m_{1,1}(m_{1,1}-1)}.$$

Thus we get our divisibility condition on $m_{1,1}$ by Lemma 6.3.

6 Computations for a free group on three generators

Lemma 6.1 *Let G be a free group on three generators, $G = \langle x, y, z \rangle$. Then*

$$G/G^{(4)} \cong \{(i_1, \dots, i_{14}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{14}\}$$

where multiplication on the right-hand side is given as follows:

$$(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5, i_6, i_7, i_8, i_9, i_{10}, i_{11}, i_{12}, i_{13}, i_{14}) \cdot (j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5, j_6, j_7, j_8, j_9, j_{10}, j_{11}, j_{12}, j_{13}, j_{14}) =$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} i_1 + j_1 \\ i_2 + j_2 \\ i_3 + j_3 \\ i_4 + j_4 - i_2 j_1 \\ i_5 + j_5 - i_3 j_2 \\ i_6 + j_6 - i_3 j_1 \\ i_7 + j_7 + i_4 j_1 - \frac{1}{2}(j_1 - 1)j_1 i_2 \\ i_8 + j_8 + i_4 j_2 - \frac{1}{2}(i_2 - 1)i_2 j_1 - i_2 j_1 j_2 \\ i_9 + j_9 + i_4 j_3 + i_6 j_2 - i_2 i_3 j_1 - i_2 j_1 j_3 - i_3 j_1 j_2 \\ i_{10} + j_{10} + i_5 j_1 + i_6 j_2 - i_3 j_1 j_2 \\ i_{11} + j_{11} + i_5 j_2 - \frac{1}{2}(j_2 - 1)j_2 i_3 \\ i_{12} + j_{12} + i_5 j_3 - \frac{1}{2}(i_3 - 1)i_3 j_2 - i_3 j_2 j_3 \\ i_{13} + j_{13} + i_6 j_1 - \frac{1}{2}(j_1 - 1)j_1 i_3 \\ i_{14} + j_{14} + i_6 j_3 - \frac{1}{2}(i_3 - 1)i_3 j_1 - i_3 j_1 j_3 \end{array} \right)$$

Proof. We have the exact sequences

$$1 \rightarrow G^{(k)}/G^{(k+1)} \rightarrow G/G^{(k+1)} \rightarrow G/G^{(k)} \rightarrow 1,$$

where $G^{(k)}/G^{(k+1)}$ is a finitely generated free abelian group ([MKS, Th.5.12]) of rank $N_i := \frac{1}{i} \sum_{d|i} \mu(d) 3^{i/d}$ ([MKS, Th.5.11]), where μ is the Möbius-function. Explicitly, we have, with $r := [x, y]$, $s := [y, z]$ and $t := [x, z]$:

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(1)}/G^{(2)} &= \langle x, y, z \rangle_{ab}, \\ G^{(2)}/G^{(3)} &= \langle r, s, t \rangle_{ab}, \\ G^{(3)}/G^{(4)} &= \langle [r, x], [r, y], [r, z], [s, x], [s, y], [s, z], [t, x], [t, z] \rangle_{ab}, \end{aligned}$$

by the Jacobi-relation $[t, y] \equiv [r, z][s, x] \pmod{G^{(4)}}$. Now recall that if $a \in G^{(k)}$ and $b \in G^{(l)}$ then $ab = ba[a, b]$ with $[a, b] \in G^{(k+l)}$, so that

$$ab \equiv ba \pmod{G^{(k+l)}}. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore we have the following identities: ([MKS, Th.5.1])

$$[a, b] = [b, a]^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

$$[a, bc] = [a, c][a, b][[a, b], c], \quad (3)$$

$$[ab, c] = [a, c][[a, c], b][b, c]. \quad (4)$$

It is clear now that any element a of $G/G^{(4)}$ can be written in the form $a = x^{i_1} \cdots [t, z]^{i_{14}}$ in a unique manner. Note that the last eleven factors commute by (1). We define a map $\phi : G/G^{(4)} \rightarrow \{(i_1, \dots, i_{14}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{14}\}$ by

$$x^{i_1} y^{i_2} z^{i_3} r^{i_4} s^{i_5} t^{i_6} [r, x]^{i_7} [r, y]^{i_8} [r, z]^{i_9} [s, x]^{i_{10}} [s, y]^{i_{11}} [s, z]^{i_{12}} [t, x]^{i_{13}} [t, z]^{i_{14}} \mapsto (i_1, \dots, i_{14}).$$

Before we start the computation, notice that modulo $G^{(4)}$ we have

$$[a^i, b^j] \equiv [a, b]^{ij} [[a, b], a]^{\frac{1}{2}ij(i-1)} [[a, b], b]^{\frac{1}{2}ij(j-1)}, \quad (5)$$

as one proves easily by induction. We set $(n, m) := \frac{1}{2}(n-1)nm$. The following identities hold in the group $G/G^{(4)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{i_1} y^{i_2} z^{i_3} x^{j_1} y^{j_2} z^{j_3} \\ &= x^{i_1+j_1} x^{-j_1} y^{i_2} z^{i_3} x^{j_1} z^{-i_3} y^{-i_2} y^{i_2} z^{i_3} y^{j_2} z^{j_3} \\ &= x^{i_1+j_1} [x^{j_1}, z^{-i_3} y^{-i_2}] y^{i_2+j_2} y^{-j_2} z^{i_3} y^{j_2} z^{-i_3} z^{i_3+j_3} \\ &= x^{i_1+j_1} [x^{j_1}, y^{-i_2}] [x^{j_1}, z^{-i_3}] [[x^{j_1}, z^{-i_3}], y^{-i_2}] y^{i_2+j_2} [y^{j_2}, z^{-i_3}] z^{i_3+j_3} \\ &= x^{i_1+j_1} r^{-i_2 j_1} [r, x]^{(j_1, -i_2)} [r, y]^{(-i_2, j_1)} t^{-i_3 j_1} [t, x]^{(j_1, -i_3)} [t, z]^{(-i_3, j_1)} \\ &\quad y^{i_2+j_2} s^{-i_3 j_2} [s, y]^{(j_2, -i_3)} [s, z]^{(-i_3, j_2)} z^{i_3+j_3} [t, y]^{i_2 i_3 j_1} \\ &= x^{i_1+j_1} y^{i_2+j_2} z^{i_3+j_3} r^{-i_2 j_1} s^{-i_3 j_2} t^{-i_3 j_1} [r, y]^{-i_2 j_1 (i_2+j_2)} [t, y]^{-i_3 j_1 (i_2+j_2)} [r, z]^{-i_2 j_1 (i_3+j_3)} \\ &\quad [t, z]^{-i_3 j_1 (i_3+j_3)} [s, z]^{-i_3 j_2 (i_3+j_3)} [r, x]^{(j_1, -i_2)} [r, y]^{(-i_2, j_1)} [t, x]^{(j_1, -i_3)} [t, z]^{(-i_3, j_1)} \\ &\quad [s, y]^{(j_2, -i_3)} [s, z]^{(-i_3, j_2)} [r, z]^{j_1 i_2 i_3} [s, x]^{j_1 i_2 i_3} \\ &= x^{i_1+j_1} y^{i_2+j_2} z^{i_3+j_3} r^{-i_2 j_1} s^{-i_3 j_2} t^{-i_3 j_1} [r, x]^{(j_1, -i_2)} [r, y]^{(-i_2, j_1)} [r, z]^{-i_2 j_1 j_3 - i_3 j_1 j_2 - i_2 i_3 j_1} \\ &\quad [s, x]^{-i_3 j_1 j_2} [s, y]^{(j_2, -i_3)} [s, z]^{(-i_3, j_2)} [t, x]^{(j_1, -i_3)} [t, z]^{(-i_3, j_1) - i_3 j_1 j_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
r^{i_4} s^{i_5} t^{i_6} x^{j_1} y^{j_2} z^{j_3} r^{j_4} s^{j_5} t^{j_6} &= x^{j_1} y^{j_2} z^{j_3} r^{i_4} s^{i_5} t^{i_6} [r^{i_4} s^{i_5} t^{i_6}, x^{j_1} y^{j_2} z^{j_3}] r^{j_4} s^{j_5} t^{j_6} \\
&= x^{j_1} y^{j_2} z^{j_3} r^{i_4+j_4} s^{i_5+j_5} t^{i_6+j_6} [r, x]^{i_4 j_1} [r, y]^{i_4 j_2} [r, z]^{i_4 j_3+i_6 j_2} \\
&\quad [s, x]^{i_5 j_1+i_6 j_2} [s, y]^{i_5 j_2} [s, z]^{i_5 j_3} [t, x]^{i_6 j_1} [t, z]^{i_6 j_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 6.2 $(i_1, \dots, i_{14})^n =$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}
ni_1 \\
ni_2 \\
ni_3 \\
ni_4 - \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_2i_1 \\
ni_5 - \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_3i_2 \\
ni_6 - \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_3i_1 \\
ni_7 + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_4i_1 - \frac{1}{12}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_1^2i_2 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_1i_2 \\
ni_8 + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_4i_2 - \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_1i_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_1i_2 - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_1i_2^2 \\
ni_9 + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_4i_3 + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_6i_2 - \frac{1}{3}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_3i_1i_2 - \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_1i_2i_3 \\
ni_{10} + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_5i_1 + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_6i_2 - \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_3i_1i_2 \\
ni_{11} + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_5i_2 - \frac{1}{12}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_2^2i_3 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_2i_3 \\
ni_{12} + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_5i_3 - \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_2i_3^2 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_3i_2 - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_2i_3^2 \\
ni_{13} + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_6i_1 - \frac{1}{12}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_1^2i_3 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_1i_3 \\
ni_{14} + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)ni_6i_3 - \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_1i_3^2 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_3i_1 - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_1i_3^2
\end{array} \right)$$

Proof. By induction on n . $\square$

Lemma 6.3 *Notations as above.*

$$G^{(4),n}/G^{(4)} \cong \{(i_1, \dots, i_{14}) | i_1, i_2, i_3 \in n\mathbb{Z}, i_4, i_5, i_6 \in n_2\mathbb{Z}, i_7, \dots, i_{14} \in n_6\mathbb{Z}, i_9 + i_{10} \in n_2\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

(Recall that $n_i := n/\gcd(n, i)$.)

Proof. The inclusion “ $\subset$ ” follows at once from Lemma 4.2 and the following two observations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{12}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_1^2i_2 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_1i_2 = \\
&\quad \frac{1}{12}(n-1)ni_1i_2[-(2n-1)i_1 + 3]
\end{aligned}$$

is an element of $n_6\mathbb{Z}$ (either i_1 is even or the two terms between brackets have the same parity). The same holds for

$$-\frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1)i_1i_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_1i_2 - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)ni_1i_2^2 =$$

$$\frac{1}{12}(n-1)ni_1i_2[-(4n+1)i_2+3].$$

The other inclusion follows from a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= (1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^n \\ &= (0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}(n-1)n, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(n+1), -\frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(n+1), 0, \dots, 0), \\ b &:= (-1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(0, -1, \dots, 0)^n \\ &= (0, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{2}(n-1)n, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{6}(n-2)(n-1)n, \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(n+1), 0, \dots, 0), \\ c &:= (1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(-1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^n \\ &= (0, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{2}(n-1)n, 0, 0, \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(n+1), -\frac{1}{6}(n-2)(n-1)n, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

So we have:

$$ab = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1), 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$ac = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1), 0, \dots, 0).$$

The same computation holds for the eleventh till the fourteenth coefficient, so it is possible to get n_6 as one of the coefficients $i_7, i_8, i_{11}, \dots, i_{14}$ and all the other coefficients zero. Thus, looking again at a , we see that it is possible to get n_2 as the coefficient i_4 and all the other coefficients zero. By symmetry the same holds for the coefficients i_5, i_6 .

Furthermore, if we put

$$d := (0, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(0, 0, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^n,$$

$$e := (-1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^n,$$

then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}(n-1)n, 0, \dots, 0), \\ e &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}(n-1)n, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can get n_2 in the ninth and tenth coefficient, independently. The rest now follows from what we have proven already and the computation of

$$(-1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(0, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^n(1, 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^n.$$

□

Corollary 6.4 *Notations as above.*

1. $(G^{(2)} \cap G^{(3),n})/G^{(3)} \cong n_2G^{(2)}/G^{(3)}.$
2. $(i_7, \dots, i_{14}) \in (G^{(3)} \cap G^{(4),n})/G^{(4)}$ if and only if $i_7, \dots, i_{14} \in n_6\mathbb{Z}$, $i_9 + i_{10} \in n_2\mathbb{Z}.$

References

- [SGA 1] A. Grothendieck et al., *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique 1 - Revêtements étales et groupe fondamentale*, Lecture notes in mathematics **224**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1971).
- [AMO] M. Asada, M. Matsumoto, T. Oda, *Local monodromy on the fundamental groups of algebraic curves along a degenerate stable curve*, to appear in J. of Pure and Appl. Alg.
- [B] J.-L. Brylinski, *Propriétés de ramification à l'infini du groupe modulaire de Teichmüller*, Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. **12** (1979), 295-333.
- [Deh] M. Dehn, *Die Gruppe der Abbildungsklassen*, Acta Math. **69** (1938), 135-210.
- [Del] P. Deligne, *Le lemme de Gabber*, in Séminaire sur les pinceaux arithmétiques: la conjecture de Mordell, ed. L. Szpiro, Astérisque **127** (1985), 131-150.
- [DM] P. Deligne, D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Publ. Math. IHES **36** (1969), 75-109.
- [GO] B. van Geemen, F. Oort, *A compactification of a fine moduli space of curves*, preprint Utrecht (1983).
- [Lab] J. Labute, *On the descending central series of groups with a single defining relation*, Journal of algebra **14** (1970), 16-23.
- [Lam] K. Lamotke, *Die Homologie isolierter Singularitäten*, Math. Z. **143** (1975), 27-44.
- [L] E. Looijenga, *Smooth Deligne-Mumford compactifications by means of Prym level structures*, J. Algebraic Geometry **3** (1994), 283-293.
- [MKS] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Pure and applied math., Interscience publishers, New York, London (1966).
- [Mo] S.M. Mostafa, *Die Singularitäten der Modulmannigfaltigkeit $\overline{M}_g(n)$ der stabilen Kurven vom Geschlecht $g \geq 2$ mit n -Teilungspunktstruktur*, Crelle **343** (1983), 81-98.
- [Mu] J. Murre, *Lectures on an introduction of Grothendieck's theory of the fundamental group*. Lecture notes, Tata institute of fundamental research, Bombay, 1967.

- [P] H. Popp, *Moduli theory and classification theory of algebraic varieties*, Lecture notes in mathematics **620**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1977.

M. Pikaart and A.J. de Jong
Universiteit Utrecht,
Mathematisch Instituut,
Postbus 80.010,
3508 TA Utrecht,
The Netherlands.
E-mail: pikaart@math.ruu.nl & dejong@math.ruu.nl